

EXHIBIT 17

Q & A AND CORRESPONDENCE TO CONCERNED RESIDENTS

Deer Management Plan Will Be Implemented

Mt. Lebanon has signed a contract with the United States Department of Agriculture to cull the community's deer population. Here are some questions and answers that emerged during the yearlong public input process that preceded the decision.

Why is it necessary to reduce the size of Mt. Lebanon's deer herd?

Wildlife experts have determined that there are more deer per square mile than the community can accommodate. An overabundance of deer in a highly developed area such as Mt. Lebanon leads to property damage and an increase in vehicular collisions. Too many deer per square mile also means that there is not enough food to support the deer population.

Who made the decision to cull the herd, and was the public consulted?

The decision to control the size of the deer herd was made by Mt. Lebanon's elected officials after extensive study by the Public Works Department, which will oversee deer management. There were many public meetings that provided an opportunity for the public to comment, as well as a public hearing on the subject. The deer management issue also has been featured prominently in Mt. Lebanon magazine. Questions and answers and the proposed plan have been posted at www.mtlebanon.org for many months. The daily newspapers also have followed the issue closely.

What options were considered?

Before making a decision, municipal staff and commissioners talked with the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA), which uses trained sharpshooters, and with Whitetail Management Associates, which uses bow hunters. In addition, they consulted an expert in deer birth control. Officials determined that the USDA program would be the most appropriate, cost effective method for Mt. Lebanon.

When will the plan be implemented?

The deer management initiative will take place this winter.

Who will carry out the deer management plan?

Certified wildlife biologists and professional field technicians who have been qualified by the USDA and do this work as a living will cull the herd.

How and where will the culling take place?

The USDA specialists will work in municipal parks at night, using rifles. Accompanied by a public works employee, they will set out bait for the deer and then shoot from elevated positions, ensuring that the bullets will not stray beyond the targets. Silencers will ensure that the noise does not disrupt the surrounding community.

What will happen to the carcasses?

The carcasses will be removed immediately and the meat donated to area food banks.

Did Mt. Lebanon need permission to proceed with deer management?

In order to proceed with deer management, Mt. Lebanon had to submit an 8-point plan to the Pennsylvania Game Commission, covering topics such as public education, deer density surveys and collection of deer-vehicle collision data. The complete plan and the provisions of the contract Mt. Lebanon signed with USDA are posted on Mt. Lebanon's Web site, www.mtlebanon.org.

How can we be sure this method is safe for residents?

The USDA wildlife experts and field technicians have been using this method for many years to control various sorts of animal populations in many different environments. The USDA's district supervisor told Mt. Lebanon Commissioners that there has never been an injury or a death as a result. The police will be notified of the exact times and locations.

Where can I go for additional information?

Pennsylvania Game Commission Deer Management Program,
<http://www.pgc.state.pa.us/pgc/cwp/view.asp?A=465&q=167793>

USDA Wildlife Services, http://www.aphis.usda.gov/subjects/wildlife_damage/

Stephen Feller

From: Stephen Feller
Sent: Tuesday, May 08, 2007 10:36 AM
To: Barbara Sollenberger (b.sollenberger@mtlebanonpa.us)
Cc: 'David J. Humphreys'; Tom Kelley; 'Craig.B.Swope@aphis.usda.gov'
Subject: deer questions

Ms. Sollenberger:

Below you will find my answers to your questions about deer culling. I will also fax this information to 412-488-8578.

Thank you.

Steve Feller

Municipal Manager

1. *Question: How many traps were employed in the deer management efforts, total?*

1. USDA WS used four individual "clover" box traps

2. *Question: What day and date did the trapping begin?*

2. On March 30th traps were set up, but were wired open for pre baiting (traps were not able to close.) This allows for free movement in and out of the trap

3. *Question: In how many private yards were the traps used, altogether?*

3. 46 private property owners volunteered for the program, but only four properties were used.

4. *Question: Were any traps left in Mt. Lebanon, either in private yards or on public properties, in such a way as to continue to be operational after Good Friday morning?*

Traps were wired open for pre baiting over the Easter weekend

5. *Question: If so, how many traps remained operational over Easter week-end, how often were they monitored, and by whom?*

5. No traps ever went "operational" after 4/4/07

6. *Question: If any traps were operational over Easter weekend, how often were they monitored, and by whom?*

6. Traps were not operational; during pre baiting efforts the traps were pre baited once daily during the week.

7. *Question: What is the longest that any individual or group of deer is known to have remained in a trap waiting to be killed?*

7. State law for recreational trapping is a 36 hour trap check; USDA WS is exempt from this trap check law; however, USDA WS self imposed a less than 1 ½ day trap check for all deer trapping in the Municipality of Mt. Lebanon. The deer that was trapped and shot was in the trap for less than 1 hour. There is no way to know exactly when the animal entered the trap, but USDA had checked this trap less than one hour before the deer was found.

8. *If the traps were not operational after Good Friday morning, what was the reason that they were left in the community over the week-end?*

8. USDA WS was asked to remove all traps on 4/9/07. During the period between 4/4/07 and 4/9/07 no traps were operational. USDA WS anticipated resuming trapping for at least one night during the week of 4/9/07; therefore, traps were left on properties over the Easter weekend.

9. *On what day and date was the last trap physically removed from the community?*

9. All equipment was removed on 4/9/07 at the direction of the Municipal Manager.

10. *On what day and date was the last trapped deer killed?*

10. Traps were only operational on one night. One deer was captured and shot on 4/4/07.

11. *What is the total number of kills through the trap and kill efforts?*

11. see #10

12. *What weapon or weapons were used to kill the trapped deer?*

12. Suppressed firearms with subsonic ammunition


13. *What was the day and date that the last deer was killed in Mt. Lebanon through all deer management or*

5/8/2007

culling operations?

13. The last deer was taken on 4/4/07.
14. *What is the total of deer killed through all deer management or culling operations?*
1 69
15. *When will we see published final numbers and general locations of the deer kills?*
15. The final report (prepared by USDA WS) will be presented to the commission according to the PA Game Commission permit, which states that a final report must be submitted within 30 days of the expiration of the permit. Mt. Lebanon's permit expires on June 30, 2007.
16. *Who, if anyone, is expected to conduct an evaluation of the completed deer management or culling operations?*
16. The Long-term Deer Management Plan which was accepted by the Commission states that the Deer Management Committee (USDA WS rep., PA Game Commission rep., Municipal Manager, and at least one commissioner) will review all deer management activities including culling on an annual basis.
17. *If someone or some group is expected to conduct such an evaluation, what is the anticipated date of the completion of the evaluation.*
17. The Deer Management Committee will meet at least once annually to discuss deer management programs and direction. A review of this year's culling activities will be conducted before considering additional culling activities.
18. *Will any such evaluation results be posted on the municipal web site?*
18. Yes. In addition, for the past several weeks there have been three charts on the web site summarizing the deer removal dates, counts, age and sex, and the location where the deer were removed.
19. *When will the Board of Commissioners be re-visiting the issue of the deer presence in Mt. Lebanon as we look towards the 2007-2008 Fall, winter and spring timing frames?*
19. The deer management efforts for the winter of 2007-2008 will be discussed prior to the end of 2007.

* * *



MT. LEBANON
PENNSYLVANIA

October 17, 2007

MUNICIPAL BUILDING
710 WASHINGTON ROAD
PITTSBURGH, PA 15228
PHONE (412) 343-3400
www.mtlebanon.org

Ms. Kimberly Schevtchuk

[REDACTED]
Pittsburgh, PA 15216

Dear Ms. Schevtchuk:

You have asked for answers to your questions and comments concerning the USDA summary report. Below I have copied your questions and the responses:

1. Given that last year's goal of shooting 75 deer required a waiver from public safety regulations for six weeks of hunting, what is the expected duration of the proposed hunting to achieve the new goal of shooting 150 deer? a. Specifically, what are the proposed months and number of weeks allocated for hunting? b. What days of the week will be involved? c) And during what hours will the hunting be allowed to occur?
a) This has not yet been determined. The dates will be finalized based upon the permit issued by the Pennsylvania Game Commission and the agreement between USDA and Mt. Lebanon.
b) Monday through Friday c) the hunting will occur between 9 pm and 6 am. There may be some baiting work done between 7 pm and 9 pm.
2. Wildlife Services has proposed an earlier start in the shooting operations to allow for "multiple methods to be fully utilized." (Source Wildlife Services Report p. 4). Please explain what is meant by "multiple methods" and please clarify whether in this year's recommendations Wildlife Services is proposing to allow bow hunting in addition to sharp shooting in Mt. Lebanon's urban community?
"Multiple methods" refers to trapping and shooting. Bow hunting is not being proposed.
3. On page 4 of the Wildlife Services report it states that "Notification protocols were amended as needed during the control activities." Please explain the notification protocols. And please explain how they were amended.
Initially the protocol was to notify the police watch commander. However, it was changed to include the chief of police directly before culling activities commenced so that he could personally notify the neighboring municipalities.
4. On page 3 of the report Wildlife Services states that the estimated 15 deer per square mile density estimate in Mt. Lebanon was greater than "300 times the recommended density." If this information is correct, the "recommended density" for Mt. Lebanon would be .05 (i.e. less than one deer) deer per square mile. Please clarify since this number contradicts other proposed deer density numbers in the report which range from 2-5 deer per square mile.
The USDA indicates that this was a typographical error.

5. In the recent report Wildlife Services recommends that the "Municipality continue deer removal operations in 2007-2008 to work toward a deer density near or at 2-5 deer per square mile." (p.4). This number is inconsistent and lower than the ratio recommended by Wildlife Services in its letter to Mt. Lebanon's Director of Public Services in September 2006. In this letter Wildlife Services explained its methodology and recommended "to reduce deer density to 3-5 deer per square mile." (9-6-06 correspondence, p.1.) Please explain the contradiction and the reason for lowering the ratio?

The goal is to reach a density of 3-5 deer per square mile.

6. The Wildlife Services recommended target of killing is 150 deer (twice last year's target of killing 75 deer) would expand the scope of services in their contract. In addition the report notes (on page 4) a need for additional nights for trapping and hunting. How much money does USDA APHIS Wildlife Services propose to request from the Mt. Lebanon Commission to achieve its proposed goals? (Please note the built in conflict of interest allowing Wildlife Services to financially gain in the Commission's public process in the endnote to this document.)

The proposed contract for the next year totals \$50,837.

7. Given that hunting introduces a government acknowledged public safety risk, what objective, scientifically sound basis can Wildlife Services provide to recommend continuing the shooting this year in its recommendations? And especially how can Wildlife Services objectively justify recommending a doubling of the number of deer to be killed to 150 given its own initial survey findings and current report reservations concerning the number of deer remaining in Mt. Lebanon. The contradictory information follows:

- a. The Wildlife Services estimated last year from its own deer density survey only a total of 90 deer in Mt. Lebanon. (Given that 69 were killed in this past hunt, the proposed killing of 150 additional deer would lead to an extermination of all deer in Mt. Lebanon.)
- b. Three examples of reservations on existing deer numbers in Mt. Lebanon appearing in Wildlife Services' most recent report follow:
 - "It is extremely difficult to determine (deer) population levels within the Municipality at any given time...." p. 4.
 - "The full impact of this deer removal operation will not immediately be known." Pp.3-4.
 - "The removal of 69 deer and 56 fetuses certainly helped curb the population growth, but without more data (i.e. additional density estimates, deer/vehicle collisions, damage reports etc.) the full impact cannot be measured." Pp 3-4. (Government acknowledgement of the public safety risk is evident in the requirement by the State that Mt. Lebanon must seek a waiver from existing public health and safety regulations in order to permit hunting in a dense urban area. For example the waiver permits the use of rifles in Mt. Lebanon and eliminates the requirement of safety buffer zones when shooting.)

The Pennsylvania Game Commission ultimately determines whether there is sufficient data to warrant a permit for culling. The USDA reports that numerous factors alter the population density during certain seasons. For example, the population in March is lower than the population in July (under normal circumstances) due to fawning. The population in November was higher than March due to culling in the winter.

1. Given the Wildlife Service's observations in the recent report of the extreme difficulty of estimating the deer population numbers "due to high immigration and emigration rates and density of private properties where many deer partly reside" (p. 4), why is the Wildlife Services not recommending a different type of survey method to improve accuracy, e.g. infrared aerial survey? (These two factors, significant deer movement and high residential density, amplify the weaknesses of the survey methodology selected by Wildlife Services, which attempts to estimate the total deer population from a horizontal position using forward looking infrared technology from trucks on three different days. Other methodologies provide more accurate information and are better suited for the specific topography of Mt. Lebanon. In addition to the infrared aerial survey method, on the ground biological surveys of damage to plant life and evidence of deer presence provide better indicators of deer overabundance, the number and location of deer herds within Mt. Lebanon, and the areas evidencing the most negative human deer interactions. The Wildlife Services selected deer density survey methodology provides generic and likely inaccurate information on Mt. Lebanon's deer population and lacks the ability to systematically identify those areas most stressed by deer and best suited for different types of intervention.)

The USDA reports that aerial survey counts are less reliable. There are numerous problems identifying deer "signatures" with these tools. Upper St. Clair Township found that the aerial survey dramatically under-estimated the total deer in their community. The USDA uses "ground biological surveys" in some situations (such as airports and other fenced compounds) but feels that they are not cost effective in Mt. Lebanon. The reports of damage have come from throughout the community.

I hope that this reply is helpful.

Sincerely,



Stephen M. Feller
Municipal Manager

cc: C. Swope (USDA), T. Kelley, T. Ogden



MT. LEBANON
PENNSYLVANIA

October 17, 2007

MUNICIPAL BUILDING
710 WASHINGTON ROAD
PITTSBURGH, PA 15228
PHONE (412) 343-3400
www.mtlebanon.org

Ms. Sandraluz Lara-Cinisomo, Ph.D.

[REDACTED]
Pittsburgh, PA 15228

Dear Dr. Lara-Cinisomo,

You have asked for written answers to your questions and comments concerning the USDA report. Below I have copied your questions and the responses that we received from USDA:

1. Please explain what a "clover style" trap is, how it was used and whether any additional mechanisms such as bolts were used to trap the deer.

The USDA reports that "Clover Style" traps are a collapsible box or live trap. The trap is assembled from several panels with netting. The "trigger" or trip-wire runs between the two stakes at the front of the trap, attached to a pin which holds open the door. When a deer steps in for a nibble and disturbs the wire, the door comes down, effectively capturing the deer. These traps were specifically designed and built for deer; there is a small gap that allows raccoons, squirrels, and other small mammals to escape. The USDA did not use capture bolts.

2. The report indicates that the majority of the deer were processed for consumption. However, no data or information is provided that substantiates this claim. For instance, which food banks received the venison and what were they told about the meat (e.g., deer killed on private property, etc.). Also, what was done with the remains of the fetuses?

The USDA reports that all but one deer was processed for human consumption. The deer were distributed to numerous Western PA families by the Pennsylvania Game Commission and to Westmoreland County food banks by the processor. All deer are tracked by a unique political subdivision tag which is assigned to each deer. The USDA does not release the names and addresses of those receiving deer and no information is disclosed about where the deer came from. As for the remains of the fetuses, they are disposed of along with all of the other "unused" parts of the deer. We are told that these products are collected by protein companies and often used in pet food products.

8. Please clarify what is meant by "Notification protocols were amended as needed during the control activities." This text can be found in page 3, second paragraph.

This refers to notifying the chief of police directly before culling activities so that he could personally notify the neighboring municipalities. Prior to this, USDA notified the Police Watch Commander.

9. Last year you relied on the information provided by the USDA regarding the estimated number of deer per square mile. By the way, experts say that 5 deer per square mile is a gross underestimation. More can be sustained in a square mile. Where is this number derived from? Also, after the hunt, we were told that the USDA was effective and that you were happy with the outcome of their hunt. Now, the USDA reports that additional sharp shooting "and hunting" may be necessary. By definition, the USDA WAS NOT EFFECTIVE OR SUCCESSFUL. Clearly, a different, safer approach is necessary IF it is proven that (a) the deer density presents a clear and present danger, which has not been proven and (b) the deer density must be reduced for the well-being of the deer. *The USDA has not stated that they need to take more deer to be successful. They have stated that increasing the program will reduce the amount of time it takes to get to the "maintenance level."*

10. The report also suggests that the Municipality (you) monitor deer-vehicle collisions, yet there is not systematic way of doing so (see #4 above). How do you propose to do this?

Mt. Lebanon's Animal Control Division has always kept statistics on the number of dead deer removed in each of the seven communities that they serve. They report collecting the following in Mt. Lebanon:

<u>Year</u>	<u># of Deer Remove</u>
2006	62
2005	61
2004	49
2003	59
2002	39
2001	40
2000	34


Since April of 2007 they have also started to track the street on which the deer were picked up.

Before I close, I want to go "on record" as saying that any real and unbiased investigation of the deer status in Mt. Lebanon SHOULD NOT BE CONDUCTED by the very group that will benefit from the "study." The USDA has a clear conflict of interest. By hiring the USDA to conduct the survey and to hunt the deer, you are clearly biased and not making fair use of our tax dollars. Finally, Commissioner Daley, you said that you would reconsider the hunt if was not effective. Clearly, the hunt was not effective. Now are you willing to reconsider your vote?

The USDA followed protocols that were accepted by the Pennsylvania Game Commission for the density estimates. The Municipality believes that the cull was successful. USDA removed 69 deer without incident. This resulted in fewer deer and fewer "future" deer being on the ground causing damage.

I hope that this reply is helpful.

Sincerely,



Stephen M. Feller
Municipal Manager

cc: C. Swope (USDA), T. Kelley, T. Ogden

USDA APHIS Wildlife Services
Deer Survey Summary
for
Mt. Lebanon Township

Date	Number Observed	Average Distance from road (yds)	Area surveyed (mi sq.)	Deer density estimate (n/mi sq.)	Buck:Doe Ratio
4/21/2006	5	9	0.19	25.7	1 : 1
				Average Density for the preceding month:	26
5/31/2006	5	15	0.50	9.9	2 : 3
				Average Density for the preceding month:	10
6/29/2006	19	21	1.46	13.0	3 : 6
				Average Density for the preceding month:	13
7/27/2006	10	26	0.94	10.7	5 : 5
				Average Density for the preceding month:	11



MT. LEBANON
PENNSYLVANIA

MUNICIPAL BUILDING
710 WASHINGTON ROAD
PITTSBURGH, PA 15228
PHONE (412) 343-3400
www.mtlebanon.org

February 13, 2008

Ms. Kimberly Schevtchuk
[REDACTED]
Pittsburgh, PA 15216

Re: Right to Know Request dated February 8, 2008

Dear Ms. Schevtchuk:

I am writing in response to your Right to Know Request (the "Request") dated February 8, 2008 in which you seek a "list of the actions taken to implement the 9 recommendations in the conclusion of the Municipality's Deer Management Plan. Specifically requesting what has occurred for each of the 9 recommendations."

Pursuant to the Pennsylvania Right to Know law, 65 P.S. §66.1 et seq., there is no document responsive to your Request. However, I am providing the requested information in this letter.

The document that is sometimes referred to as the "Municipality's Deer Management Plan" is actually entitled "A Review of White-Tailed Deer Management Options and Recommendations for Long-Term Population Control" and was developed by the Municipality in cooperation with the United States Department of Agriculture ("USDA") Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service ("APHIS") Wildlife Services, and was prepared in November, 2006. (the "November, 2006 Document"). Contrary to your statement that "this plan was submitted to the PA Game Commission on order to obtain the waiver of public safety regulations to permit culling with rifles in Mt. Lebo," the November, 2006 Document was developed as part of the requirement for the Municipality to obtain a political subdivision permit from the Pennsylvania Game Commission. Furthermore, the November, 2006 Document was amended in January, 2007. Specifically, Section 4.0 was amended to remove (5) "The Township will work with the PA Game Commission and USDA APHIS Wildlife Services to identify safe locations for controlled public hunting utilizing Whitetail Management Associates." This change reflected the Municipality's decision not to pursue controlled bow culling in the Municipality. Therefore, pursuant to Section 4.0 of the November, 2006 Document, there are only eight (8) recommendations for long-term deer management.

The first recommendation involves providing citizens with information on urban deer population dynamics and non-lethal methods that can be implemented on private property to reduce damage. Following the year-long public input process that preceded the decision by the Municipality to move forward with deer culling, the Municipality developed a fact sheet that was available at

Commission meetings and on the municipal web site. In addition, there have been numerous articles on the subject of deer management in the municipal publication, the *Mt. Lebanon Magazine*. A specific deer management public meeting was held on September 26, 2007 during which Wildlife Services presented its final report on the 2006-2007 program and made recommendations for the upcoming year. Following the presentation by Wildlife Services on September 26, 2007, the Commissioners took public comment on the issue. Furthermore, during a discussion session public meeting on January 8, 2007, the Commission dedicated a significant amount of time to confer by conference call with an expert, Dr. Kilpatrick, on the use of contraceptives for deer. Moreover, the Municipality made available, both at the Municipal Building and at the public library (and informed the public via the municipal website) an expert publication titled "Managing White Tailed Deer in Suburban Environments," by Anthony J. DeNicola and Kurt C. Verceutheren. In addition, the Public Works department responded to numerous phone calls from individuals on issues such as fencing, contraception, or other deer management issues on private property.

The second recommendation states that the Municipality would amend local ordinances to allow for culling activities. On December 11, 2006, the Commission enacted Ordinance No. 3125 which fulfilled this recommendation.

The third recommendation is for the Municipality to begin collecting data on the location of deer-vehicle collisions. The Municipality has ten months of data on the specific location of dead deer collected on Mt. Lebanon streets by the Animal Control Division of the Police Department. I have attached the most recent version of this report.

The fourth recommendation is that every three (3) years, the Municipality is to conduct a deer density survey. The Municipality conducted its initial survey in late 2006. Since the Municipality is only in its second year of culling activities, the Municipality has not yet conducted a subsequent survey.

The fifth recommendation involves the Municipality's application for its political subdivision permit, which was initially granted on February 16, 2007.

The sixth recommendation is for the Municipality to update the November, 2006 Document with progress reports and new research, if applicable. There have not been any new research or progress reports warranting an update to the November, 2006 Document.

The seventh recommendation is that the Municipality create a deer management committee that consists of municipal leaders, PA Game Commission personnel and others from the USDA APHIS Wildlife Services as appropriate to meet the goal of managing the herd at 1-3 deer per square mile as recommended. "Municipal leaders" include the Mt. Lebanon Commission and Manager. The Commission does not have a committee structure – it meets as a committee of the whole on all matters. Therefore, meetings involving the deer management program have involved the Commission, the Manager, and representatives of the USDA and Pennsylvania Game Commission. These meetings are discussed above as part of the first recommendation.

The eighth and final recommendation is that the parties engaged in active management submit an annual report for evaluation by the Deer Management Committee. In late September, 2007, Craig Swope of the USDA attended a special public meeting held to discuss the USDA's final report on the first year on the deer management program in the Municipality. The Commission was in attendance, and a public comment session followed the USDA report.

I believe that the above information has addressed your Request.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "S. Feller", with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Stephen M. Feller
Manager

cc: Commission
Thomas Kelley
Thomas Ogden

DEAD DEER COLLECTED ON MT. LEBANON STREETS BY
ANIMAL CONTROL
APRIL 2007 - JANUARY 2008

S Name	TOTAL
Academy Place Total	2
Beadling Total	1
Bower Hill Total	4
Cedar Total	5
Cochran Total	1
Colony Circle Total	1
Connor Total	4
Forest Glenn Total	1
Gilkeson Total	3
Greenhurst Total	1
Kelso Total	2
Lindendale Total	4
Locust lane Total	1
Lovingston Total	1
MacArthur Total	1
McFarland Total	1
McNeilly Total	1
Mt Lebanon Blvd Total	2
N Meadowcroft Total	1
Pinewood Total	1
Rock Haven Lane Total	1
Rockwood Total	1
Roycroft Total	1
Scott Total	2
Segar Total	3
Washington Rd Total	8
Woodhaven Total	1
Grand Total	55

As requested by the Mt Lebanon Commission ~~to~~ at their meeting on January 28, I am once again submitting in writing ~~a~~ a request for the ~~requested~~ information specified below. I have requested this at Bd Meetings ~~every~~ every month since Fall and submitted copy of the recommendations to each Commissioner at an early Board Meeting to ~~enable the Board to respond to my request.~~ enable the Board to respond to my request.

PUBLIC RECORDS REQUEST FORM

Request for Public Information

Please provide the following information so we may locate your requested record.

Record requested (be specific): ^{list} ~~_____~~ of the actions taken to implement the 9 recommendations in the conclusion of the Municipality's Deer Management Plan. Specifically requesting what has occurred for each of the 9 recommendations

Date and Time of Request: Friday, Feb 8, 2008 4pm

Person requesting (name): Kimberly Schertzchuk

Address: ~~_____ PA 15210~~

Phone Number: ~~_____~~

Signature: Kimberly Schertzchuk

Comments, if any: 7.5. Please note that this plan was submitted to the PA Game Commission in order to obtain the waiver of public safety regulations to permit culling with rifles in Mt Lebo.

PUBLIC SAFETY RECORDS ONLY

Date/time of Incident: _____

Incident Number: _____

Location of Incident: _____

Type of Incident: _____

Person(s) involved in Incident: _____

You will be notified within five (5) business days of the status of your record request.

Fees per report: Incident: \$15*	Accident: \$15	Computer-aided Dispatch: \$10
Record Release: Approved:	Denied:	
Mt. Lebanon Official Signature:		
Date:		

*Originally adopted with Resolution R-04-03; amended by Resolution R-29-05